

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMI	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/526.433 09/11/95 PASC	ENTE	J :	28216/10018	
1	D MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN MURRAY & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO IL 60606-6402	3M1/0121	BELL.J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				01 date Mailed:	
: , ,1	This is a communication from the examiner is COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRAE	DEMARKS			
	This application has been examined	Responsive to commun	ication filed on	10-21-96	This action is made final.
/ /	A shortened statutory period for response to Failure to respond within the period for respond Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(this action is set to expire onse will cause the application	month(s) to become abando), days fr	rom the date of this letter.
ί υ	1. Notice of References Cited by Ex 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, F 5. Information on How to Effect Draw	aminer, PTO-892. PTO-1449.	2. 🔲 No		atent Drawing Review, PTO-948. t Application, PTO-152.
	Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION				
	1. 🖾 Claims				_ are pending in the application.
	Of the above, claims $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$) -3 (are	e withdrawn from consideration.
	2. Claims				_ have been cancelled.
	3. Claims				
	4. Claims 1-19				are rejected.
	5. Claims	-			_ are objected to.
	6. 🖾 Claims 1-31			are subject to restriction	on or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examinating					nination purposes.
	8. Formal drawings are required in resp	onse to this Office action.			
 The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 the are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 					C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings TO-948).
	10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
	11. The proposed drawing correction, file	d h	as been 🔲 appro	oved;	(see explanation).
	12. Acknowledgement is made of the clai	im for priority under 35 U.S.C erial no.	. 119. The certified	d copy has Deen r	eceived not been received
	Since this application apppears to be accordance with the practice under E	in condition for allowance exc x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	ept for formal matt ; 453 O.G. 213.	ters, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
	14 Cottor				

Serial Number: 526,433

Art Unit: 1314

The restriction requirement set forth in paper #7 has been carefully considered and is still though to be proper for reasons of record and is now made <u>FINAL</u>.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canadian Patent 2,134,130.

The Canadian reference discloses a dry extinguishing medium additive in water in which the additive contains a superabsorbent material, such as a hydrogel-forming polymer (see claims). The specific polymer employed as well as percentages used would be considered a matter of choice.

J. Bell:jp

01-09-97

JAMES J. BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300